



# IMPACT STUDY OF PRE-K 4 SA

By Dr. Michael U. Villarreal

# ABOUT THE URBAN EDUCATION INSTITUTE

## OUR MISSION

The Urban Education Institute at UTSA produces scientific research to raise educational attainment, advance economic mobility, and help people achieve their potential in the greater San Antonio region.

The Institute pursues its mission by (1) producing rigorous and actionable analysis that supports education policymaking, program implementation, and philanthropic giving; (2) convening community leaders to address entrenched challenges that harm education and human development; and (3) training the next generation of social scientists and educators to address education challenges through observation, analysis, and discovery.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b>	<b>10</b>
Key Findings	11
Policy Implications	12
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>PRE-K 4 SA</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>PRE-K 4 SA STUDENTS</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>IMPACT ON ACCESS</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>IMPACT ON EARLY EDUCATION</b>	<b>26</b>
Third-Grade Reading	27
Third-Grade Math	28
School Attendance	29
Special Education	30
Grade Repetition	31
Disciplinary Action	31
<b>SUBGROUP EFFECTS</b>	<b>32</b>
Economically Disadvantaged	32
Limited English Proficient (LEP)	38

<b>COMPARISON OF PRE-K 4 SA &amp; PUBLIC PRE-K IMPACTS</b>	<b>45</b>
Third-Grade Reading	46
Third-Grade Math	47
School Attendance	48
Special Education	49
Grade Repetition	50
Disciplinary Action	51
<b>APPENDIX A: RESEARCH DESIGN</b>	<b>53</b>
Student Outcomes of Interest	53
Comparisons	54
Methodologies	56
Hypotheses Testing	58
Study Limitations	58
Data	59
<b>APPENDIX B: ROBUSTNESS CHECKS</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>63</b>

# TABLE OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE 1</b>	Distribution of Study Sample of Pre-K 4 SA Students by Ethnicity and Race	<b>19</b>
<b>FIGURE 2</b>	Distribution of Study Sample of Pre-K 4 SA Students by Certain Student Attributes	<b>20</b>
<b>FIGURE 3</b>	Distribution of 2013 Pre-K 4 SA Students by Home School District	<b>22</b>
<b>FIGURE 4</b>	Best-Fit Trend Lines of Public Prekindergarten Enrollment as a Percent of Public School First-Grade Students in Bexar County and Other Large Urban Counties of Texas	<b>23</b>
<b>FIGURE 5</b>	Third-Grade Reading Scores Standardized and with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA	<b>27</b>
<b>FIGURE 6</b>	Third-Grade Math Scores Standardized and with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA	<b>28</b>
<b>FIGURE 7</b>	Days Present in Kinder to Third Grade with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA	<b>29</b>
<b>FIGURE 8</b>	Probability of Being Assigned to a Special Education Program in Kinder through Third Grade with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K & Pre-K 4 SA	<b>30</b>
<b>FIGURE 9</b>	Probability of Repeating a Grade in Kinder through Second Grade with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA	<b>31</b>
<b>FIGURE 10</b>	Third-Grade Reading Scores Standardized and with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA by Status as Economically Disadvantaged	<b>33</b>

<b>FIGURE 11</b>	Third-Grade Math Scores Standardized and with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA by Status as Economically Disadvantaged	<b>34</b>
<b>FIGURE 12</b>	Days Present in Kinder to Third Grade with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA by Status as Economically Disadvantaged	<b>35</b>
<b>FIGURE 13</b>	Probability of Being Assigned to a Special Education Program in Kinder through Third Grade with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA by Status as Economically Disadvantaged	<b>36</b>
<b>FIGURE 14</b>	Probability of Repeating a Grade in Kinder through Second Grade with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA by Status as Economically Disadvantaged	<b>37</b>
<b>FIGURE 15</b>	Third-Grade Reading Scores Standardized and with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA by Status as Limited English Proficient (LEP)	<b>39</b>
<b>FIGURE 16</b>	Third-Grade Math Scores Standardized and with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA By Status As Limited English Proficient (LEP)	<b>40</b>
<b>FIGURE 17</b>	Days Present in Kinder to Third Grade with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA by Status as Limited English Proficient (LEP)	<b>41</b>
<b>FIGURE 18</b>	Probability of Being Assigned to A Special Education Program in Kinder through Third Grade with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA by Status as Limited English Proficient (LEP)	<b>42</b>
<b>FIGURE 19</b>	Probability of Repeating a Grade in Kinder through Second Grade with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA by Status as Limited English Proficient (LEP)	<b>43</b>

<b>FIGURE 20</b>	Third-Grade Reading Scores Standardized and with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K, Public Pre-K, and Pre-K 4 SA	<b>47</b>
<b>FIGURE 21</b>	Third-Grade Math Scores Standardized and with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K, Public Pre-K, and Pre-K 4 SA	<b>48</b>
<b>FIGURE 22</b>	Days Present in Kinder to Third Grade with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K, Public Pre-K, and Pre-K 4 SA	<b>48</b>
<b>FIGURE 23</b>	Probability of Being Assigned to a Special Education Program in Kinder through Third Grade with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K, Public Pre-K, and Pre-K 4 SA	<b>49</b>
<b>FIGURE 24</b>	Probability of Repeating a Grade in Kinder through Second Grade with 95 Percent Confidence Intervals of Students Who Experienced No Public Pre-K, Public Pre-K, and Pre-K 4 SA	<b>50</b>

# TABLE OF TABLES

<b>TABLE 1</b>	Description of Student Attributes of Pre-K 4 SA Students and Their Outcomes	<b>21</b>
<b>TABLE 2</b>	Pre-K 4 SA's Average Treatment-on-the-Treated Effect Sizes	<b>31</b>
<b>TABLE 3</b>	Pre-K 4 SA's Average Treatment-on-the-Treated Effect Sizes by Economically Disadvantaged Status	<b>38</b>
<b>TABLE 4</b>	Pre-K 4 SA's Average Treatment-on-the-Treated Effect Sizes by Limited English Proficient (LEP) Status	<b>44</b>
<b>TABLE 5</b>	Public Pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA's Average Treatment-on-the-Treated Effect Sizes of Students who were Economically Disadvantaged, Homeless, or Limited English Proficient	<b>51</b>
<b>TABLE 6</b>	Robustness Check 1: Inverse Weights Eliminate Student Attributes' Ability to Predict Treatment	<b>61</b>
<b>TABLE 7</b>	Robustness Check 2: Pre-K 4 SA Impacts Before and After High and Low Propensity Score Students are Removed	<b>62</b>
<b>TABLE 8</b>	Robustness Check 3: Pre-K 4 SA Impacts After Control Variables are Removed	<b>62</b>





# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2012, citizens of San Antonio took a bold step by voting to expand access to high-quality prekindergarten (pre-K) by dedicating a one-eighth cent sales tax to the creation of four pre-K lab schools, a system of professional development for early education instructors, and a grant program for participating school districts. This report presents the first impact study of this city initiative, known as Pre-K 4 SA. This study analyzed Pre-K 4 SA's effect on pre-K enrollment in Bexar County following its authorizing election and its direct effect on the early education outcomes of students who participated in its lab schools. This study also investigated if the program produced more pronounced effect sizes on particular subgroups of students. It also examined Pre-K 4 SA's impact relative to public pre-K.

The analysis conducted for this report examined students who enrolled in Pre-K 4 SA in academic year 2013-2014. Researchers used a statistical procedure known as inverse propensity weighting to estimate effect sizes. This methodology allowed this study to control for selection bias and produce a base comparison group of students who on average exhibit the same student attributes as the students who participated in Pre-K 4 SA. The base comparison group enrolled in the same Bexar County elementary schools attended by Pre-K 4 SA students.



# KEY FINDINGS

- Participating in Pre-K 4 SA predicted a rise in third-grade reading scores from below the state average to above the state average.
- Pre-K 4 SA students scored above the state average as a group on the state's mandated third-grade math exam; while students who did not participate in public pre-K scored below the state average.
- Pre-K 4 SA predicted an increase in attendance during kinder to third grade by 13.4 days, or a relative growth rate of 2 percent.
- Pre-K 4 SA predicted a decrease in the share of students assigned to special education by 3.3 percentage points, or a relative decline of 28.5 percent.
- Pre-K 4 SA predicted an elimination of the need to repeat a grade between kindergarten and second grade. Without Pre-K 4 SA, 0.4 percent of students repeated at least one grade between kindergarten and second grade.
- Pre-K 4 SA and public pre-K produced no discernable effect on the probability of receiving a school disciplinary action.
- Pre-K 4 SA produced pronounced effects for two observable subgroups of students: students who were economically disadvantaged and those who had limited English proficiency (LEP). Children outside of these two categories benefited from Pre-K 4 SA but across fewer observed outcomes.
- Pre-K 4 SA amplified the positive impact of public pre-K. While the average public pre-K program improved student outcomes for its enrolled students, Pre-K 4 SA produced larger student gains.
- San Antonio public schools received approximately \$17.4 million more in gross funding due to increased attendance of students enrolled in Pre-K 4 SA (\$3.9 million) and the increased public pre-K population that followed the Pre-K 4 SA election (\$13.5 million).
- Pre-K 4 SA provides early evidence that high-quality pre-K can be taken to scale and delivered through a public system.
- The school districts of Harlandale, Northside, North East, and San Antonio represented the home school districts of nearly 9 out of 10 Pre-K 4 SA students.

# POLICY IMPLICATIONS

This study affirms prior studies that assessed the effectiveness of high-quality pre-K. Though this study was limited to its first cohort, Pre-K 4 SA produced positive effects on early education outcomes that carried on four years later into third grade.

One of the areas where pre-K had the most pronounced impact was on the placement of children in special education for students identified as economically disadvantaged, homeless, or limited English proficient. Of this targeted population, students who participated in Pre-K 4 SA and public pre-K programs were 31 percent and 18 percent less likely to be placed into special education, respectively. This substantial impact both saves money for schools and avoids well-documented negative impacts on children when they are unnecessarily placed into special education (National Panel, 1982; Sullivan, 2011).

Attendance is directly linked to school funding as well as student success. The study estimates San Antonio public schools received approximately \$17.4 million more in gross funding due to increased attendance of students who enrolled in Pre-K 4 SA (\$3.9 million) and the increased public pre-K population that followed the Pre-K 4 SA election (\$13.5 million).

Finally, Pre-K 4 SA provides early evidence that high-quality pre-K can be taken to scale and delivered through a public system. This finding is an important contribution to the field. The effectiveness of public pre-K has been questioned because the well-publicized high-quality experiments—Perry Pre-School and Abecedarian Project—were small private programs that only treated approximately 50 students. Moreover, a recent random control trial study of Tennessee’s voluntary public pre-K programs produced disappointing results (Lipsey, Farran, and Durkin, 2018).

# INTRODUCTION

Citizens of San Antonio have long seen increased educational attainment as a solution to poverty. Since the 1990s, they have used city government as a vehicle for investing in programs that provide college scholarships, after-school education, and workforce training. In 2012, citizens voted to expand access to high-quality pre-K by dedicating a one-eighth cent sales tax to the creation of four pre-K lab schools, a system of professional development for early education instructors, and a grant program to support pre-K at area school districts. This report represents the first longitudinal impact analysis on this initiative.

This study should be considered an early analysis of Pre-K 4 SA impacts because it only includes students who participated in its first year of operating. Previous research has found that the effects produced in the first year of a new program are not necessarily representative of a program's impact after it has had time to mature and strengthen (Weiss and Weiss, 1998). Consequently, the positive results this report has found may improve with later Pre-K 4 SA cohorts.

A primary goal of Pre-K 4 SA was to improve access to quality public pre-K programs in the greater San Antonio community through expanded professional development and grants to school districts. As of the writing of this report, these components of Pre-K

4 SA could not be evaluated because they were not rolled out until 2016. Public pre-K students who benefited from these services had yet to reach third grade, the first year when academic student outcomes become available.

Future research will investigate the long-term effects of Pre-K 4 SA as participating students age. The research team has created data sharing agreements and a research design that allow researchers to follow Pre-K 4 SA students into adulthood and estimate the program's impact on their educational, social, and workforce outcomes.

**In summary, this study investigated four primary research questions:**

1. Did Pre-K 4 SA increase public pre-K enrollment in the greater San Antonio community?
2. Did Pre-K 4 SA improve outcomes for the students it served in its lab schools?
3. Did some subgroups of students receive a greater benefit from Pre-K 4 SA than others?
4. How did effects on early education outcomes produced by Pre-K 4 SA compare to effects produced by public pre-K?

Answering these questions will inform the local policy debate over the merits of the public investment in Pre-K 4 SA. This report and the planned future studies will also answer questions that are being debated at the national level.

Existing research has found that high-quality pre-K increases the cognitive and non-cognitive abilities of participating students, resulting in positive educational, social, health, and economic benefits to students. We also know that the largest impacts occur later in the child's life and that benefits accrue to their communities (Heckman, 2006; Heckman et al., 2009).

The annual rate of return on investments in high-quality early education realized by society range from 7 to 18 percent (Campbell, Ramey, Pungello, Sparling, and Miller-Johnson, 2002; Heckman et al., 2009). What we don't know, and what Pre-K 4 SA is poised to answer, is whether high-quality pre-K can be delivered through our public school system and at a citywide scale.

This report lays the groundwork for closing these gaps in our knowledge about high-quality, public pre-K. What follows is a description of the Pre-K 4 SA program as it was evaluated and a description of the Pre-K 4 SA students included in this evaluation.

The remainder of this report is dedicated to answering four research questions and each question is answered in a dedicated section. The report concludes with an Appendix, which includes details about the research design and data used to answer the research questions, as well as the results of robustness checks of its methodology.



# PRE-K 4 SA

The campaign to improve the quality of early education in San Antonio began in 2011 with the convening of the Brainpower Task Force on Education. A year later, citizens voted to begin investing in public prekindergarten and Pre-K 4 SA was born.

After the election, Pre-K 4 SA was legally chartered by the City of San Antonio as a municipal corporation. In estimating the program's impact on demand for prekindergarten (Research Question 1), this evaluation recognizes the significant campaign activities that brought about the corporation's creation.

The Pre-K 4 SA campaign raised over \$1 million to purchase digital, print, TV, and radio media advertising. It organized paid and volunteer campaign workers to knock on doors and explain to voters the benefits of quality early education and Pre-K 4 SA. Backers participated in hundreds of town hall meetings and public speeches and generated significant earned media coverage.

This study of Pre-K 4 SA's direct impact on student outcomes (Research Questions 2 to 4) is limited to the first cohort of students who enrolled in the fall of 2013 and spring of 2014. During this period, Pre-K 4 SA employed 44 Master-level early education instructors and 83 support staff (including Assistant Teacher I Part-Time, Assistant Teacher I Full-Time, and Assistant Teacher II) to educate approximately 739 pre-K students. Services were provided at lab schools on Medical Drive and on South New Braunfels.

Pre-K 4 SA described its curriculum as interactive and integrated, and set within a positive environment. Participating children experienced a school day that began at 8 a.m. with a nutritious breakfast that engaged students to learn about table manners, develop social skills, and exercise verbal communication skills. Throughout the day children participated in group and individual activities that supported their learning through play and introduced the subjects of math, reading, art, and science. Students received a nutritious lunch and snack along with rest time. Students ended their school day at 3 p.m.

with the option of an extended day program for children whose parents worked or attended school. The extended day program provided “more active learning in the classroom, time in the outdoor learning environment, and quality interactions that build language, academic, and social skills” (Pre-K 4 SA, 2018).


Pre-K 4 SA's professional development services and grant program were not fully implemented until 2016, outside of this report's study period.



# PRE-K 4 SA STUDENTS


This study examined students who participated in Pre-K 4 SA during the 2013-2014 academic year and who were born in 2010, making them four years of age on average when they experienced pre-K. The quasi-treatment group was further defined by requiring students to have attended no less than 90 percent of the school year. The purpose of this attendance requirement allowed researchers to answer the question: What happens when students receive the full treatment of high-quality pre-K? The public pre-K comparison group was also limited to those who met a 90 percent attendance requirement. Figure 1 below shows the breakdown of race and ethnicity among the Pre-K 4 SA study sample.

**FIGURE 1: DISTRIBUTION OF STUDY SAMPLE OF PRE-K 4 SA STUDENTS BY ETHNICITY AND RACE**



Nearly two out of three Pre-K 4 SA students were identified as economically disadvantaged as shown in Figure 2. Forty-six percent of the Pre-K 4 SA study sample students were female, and 10 percent had limited English proficiency. Less than 1 percent were immigrants or experiencing homelessness, respectively.

**FIGURE 2: DISTRIBUTION OF STUDY SAMPLE OF PRE-K 4 SA STUDENTS BY CERTAIN STUDENT ATTRIBUTES**



Pre-K 4 SA students included in this study had above-average third-grade reading scores and average third-grade math scores, as summarized in Table 1. They were present for 680 out of 720 school days from kindergarten to third grade on average. Eight percent of Pre-K 4 SA students were classified into special education programs. No Pre-K 4 SA students repeated a grade between kindergarten and second grade, and 11 percent of Pre-K 4 SA students received at least one disciplinary mark in their school record.


TABLE 1: DESCRIPTION OF PRE-K 4 SA STUDENT ATTRIBUTES AND THEIR OUTCOMES

	OBS	MEAN	SD	MIN	MAX
Female	433	0.457	0.50	0.0	1.0
Hispanic	433	0.813	0.39	0.0	1.0
White	433	0.081	0.27	0.0	1.0
Black	433	0.074	0.26	0.0	1.0
Asian	433	0.030	0.17	0.0	1.0
Other	433	0.002	0.05	0.0	1.0
Limited English proficiency	433	0.104	0.31	0.0	1.0
Economically disadvantaged	433	0.642	0.48	0.0	1.0
Immigrant	433	0.005	0.07	0.0	1.0
Homeless at age 4	433	0.007	0.08	0.0	1.0
Pre-K attendance rate	433	98.018	1.18	94.3	100.0
Third grade reading score, standardized	428	0.012	0.76	-5.6	2.4
Third grade math score, standardized	428	-0.001	0.79	-5.6	2.2
Days present, kinder to third grade	433	680.579	27.75	430.5	715.0
Special education, kinder to third grade	433	0.083	0.28	0.0	1.0
Repeated a grade, kinder to third grade	433	0.000	0.00	0.0	0.0
Disciplinary act received, kinder to third grade	433	0.111	0.31	0.0	1.0

Note: Findings were statistically significant with a p-value less than 0.05 for all outcomes except student disciplinary reports received.

The top sending school district to Pre-K 4 SA was San Antonio ISD (33 percent), followed by Northside ISD (31 percent) as shown in Figure 3. Students from North East ISD (15 percent) and Harlandale ISD (10 percent) represented the next largest sources of Pre-K 4 SA students. Together these four school districts represent the home school districts of nearly 9 out of 10 Pre-K 4 SA students.

**FIGURE 3: DISTRIBUTION OF 2013 PRE-K 4 SA STUDENTS BY HOME SCHOOL DISTRICT**




# IMPACT ON ACCESS

Did Pre-K 4 SA increase public prekindergarten enrollment in the greater San Antonio community?

As shown in Figure 4, pre-K enrollment trends in Bexar County jumped after the Pre-K 4 SA election, whereas other large urban counties in Texas experienced a slight decrease, on average. We estimate that the Pre-K 4 SA campaign contributed to an increase of 9.07 percentage points, or about 18.7 percent more than the expected pre-K student population in Bexar County before the Pre-K 4 SA campaign.

**FIGURE 4: BEST-FIT TREND LINES OF PUBLIC PRE-K ENROLLMENT AS A PERCENT OF PUBLIC SCHOOL FIRST-GRADE STUDENTS IN BEXAR COUNTY AND OTHER LARGE URBAN COUNTIES OF TEXAS, FISCAL YEAR-ENDING 2002 TO 2018**



The expected percent of Bexar County public school first-grader students who participated in public pre-K equaled 27.4 percent in 2001-2002, 11 percentage points below other Texas urban counties as shown in Figure 4. These estimates controlled for variances in student attributes that predict pre-K enrollment such as share of economically disadvantaged public school students, share of students experiencing homelessness, and students with limited English proficiency.

After 2002, Bexar County public schools increased their share of first graders who participated in public pre-K faster than other Texas urban counties: Harris, Dallas, Tarrant, and Travis. By 2010, Bexar County public schools enrolled more first graders who participated in public pre-K than their counterparts in other Texas urban counties.

Following the Pre-K 4 SA election, Bexar County public schools experienced a stair-step increase in first graders who participated in pre-K enrollment, while their counterparts in other Texas urban counties experienced a slight decline.





The effect of the Pre-K 4 SA campaign on pre-K enrollment was calculated by subtracting the change in pre-K enrollment in other Texas urban counties one year after the Pre-K 4 SA election from the change in pre-K enrollment in Bexar County during the same time period. Comparing the change in pre-K enrollment in other urban counties controlled for statewide trends in public pre-K enrollment that would have occurred in Bexar County outside the Pre-K 4 SA election. A more detailed discussion of this methodology can be found in Appendix A.

# IMPACT ON EARLY EDUCATION

Did Pre-K 4 SA improve early education outcomes for the students it served in its lab schools?

Pre-K 4 SA produced positive academic outcomes for the first cohort of its students—a notable achievement, given that these students were enrolled during the program's inaugural year. This section presents an analysis that contrasts student outcomes of Pre-K 4 SA students to students who did not participate in public pre-K. It also presents estimated effect sizes, standard errors, 95 percent confidence intervals, and other supporting statistics in Table 2.

This study used a quasi-experimental research design known as inverse propensity weighting to estimate program effect sizes. This research design controlled for the effects of the following variables: gender, race and ethnicity, poverty, homelessness, and limited English proficiency.


Inverse propensity weighting is often used in policy impact studies that use observation data and can be found in many peer-reviewed academic journals. A more detailed explanation of this methodology can be found in Appendix A (Ho et al., 2007; Morgan and Winship, 2014; Rosenbaum and Rubin, 1983).

STAAR scores are standardized by centering scores on their mean and dividing by their standard deviation. This study standardized STAAR scores so that program effects on reading performance could be compared to program effects on math performance. Standardized test scores will also allow for the comparison of effects at different grade levels.

### THIRD-GRADE READING

Pre-K 4 SA predicted an increase in third-grade reading scores from below the state average to above the state average. As shown in Figure 5, Pre-K 4 SA increased reading scores equal to 0.095 standard deviations, or the equivalent of a change in percentile ranking of 3.8 percentage points.


**FIGURE 5: THIRD-GRADE READING SCORES STANDARDIZED AND WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA**



### THIRD-GRADE MATH

Pre-K 4 SA predicted an increase in third-grade math scores by an amount larger than its effect on reading scores. As shown in Figure 6, Pre-K 4 SA students scored above the state average as a group on the state's mandated third-grade math exam, while students who did not participate in public pre-K scored below the state average. The Pre-K 4 SA effect size on math scores equalled 0.112 standard deviations, or the equivalent of a change in percentile ranking of 4.5 percentage points.


**FIGURE 6: THIRD-GRADE MATH SCORES STANDARDIZED AND WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA**



## SCHOOL ATTENDANCE

Participation in Pre-K 4 SA predicted higher school attendance. Pre-K 4 SA students experienced 13.4 more school days from Kindergarten to third grade as compared to similar students who did not participate in Pre-K 4 SA.


**FIGURE 7: DAYS PRESENT IN KINDERGARTEN TO THIRD GRADE WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA**



## SPECIAL EDUCATION

Participation in Pre-K 4 SA predicted a lower likelihood of receiving special education services. As shown in Figure 8, Pre-K 4 SA students had a likelihood of being assigned to special education equal to 8.2 percent, while students who did not participate in public pre-K had a likelihood of being assigned to special education equal to 11.5 percent. Pre-K 4 SA predicted a decrease in the share of students assigned to special education by 3.3 percentage points, or a relative decline of 28.5 percent. This means students who participated in Pre-K 4 SA were about 29 percent less likely to be placed into special education than their counterparts who did not participate in public pre-K.


**FIGURE 8: PROBABILITY OF BEING ASSIGNED TO A SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM IN KINDERGARTEN THROUGH THIRD GRADE WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K & PRE-K 4 SA**



## GRADE REPETITION

Participation in Pre-K 4 SA predicted a lower likelihood of repeating a grade. Without Pre-K 4 SA, 0.4 percent of students repeated at least one grade between Kindergarten and second grade as shown in Figure 9.

**FIGURE 9: PROBABILITY OF REPEATING A GRADE IN KINDERGARTEN THROUGH SECOND GRADE WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K & PRE-K 4 SA**



## DISCIPLINARY ACTION

Pre-K 4 SA produced no discernible effect on the probability of students receiving disciplinary action between Kindergarten and third grade.

**TABLE 2: PRE-K 4 SA'S AVERAGE TREATMENT-ON-THE-TREATED EFFECT SIZES**

OUTCOMES	COEFF.	SE	P-VALUE	95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS		OBS
				LOWER	UPPER	
Third-grade math score, standardized	0.095	0.039	0.015	0.019	0.171	11,688
Third-grade reading score, standardized	0.112	0.042	0.007	0.030	0.193	11,689
Days present, kinder to third grade	13.371	1.384	< 0.001	10.658	16.084	11,906
Classified in special education, kinder to third grade	-0.033	0.015	0.025	-0.062	-0.004	11,906
Repeated grade, kinder to second grade	-0.004	0.002	0.023	-0.007	-0.001	11,906
Received disciplinary action, kinder to third grade	0.022	0.015	0.147	-0.008	0.052	11,906

Note: Findings were statistically significant with a p-value less than 0.05 for all outcomes except student disciplinary reports received.

# SUBGROUP EFFECTS

Did some subgroups of students receive a greater benefit from Pre-K 4 SA than others?

Pre-K 4 SA produced pronounced effects for two observable subgroups of students: those who were economically disadvantaged and those with limited English proficiency. Children outside of these two categories benefited from Pre-K 4 SA but across fewer observed outcomes. The following two subsections present the findings for both sets of students.

In Figures 10 through 19, the subsections contrast student outcomes between students who did not participate in public pre-K and those who participated in Pre-K 4 SA for each set of subgroups. In Tables 3 and 4, the subsections summarize estimated effect sizes, their 95 percent confidence intervals, and other supporting statistics.


## **ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED**

Students identified as economically disadvantaged made up approximately two of every three Pre-K 4 SA students. They included a larger share of students who were identified as Hispanic and Black as compared to non-economically disadvantaged Pre-K 4 SA students.




**Third-grade Reading.** As shown in Figure 10, Pre-K 4 SA produced larger benefits for students identified as economically disadvantaged than those identified as not being economically disadvantaged. Pre-K 4 SA predicted an increase in third-grade reading scores of students who were economically disadvantaged by 6.4 percentage points in their percentile ranking ( $D = 0.16$ ). Students not identified as economically disadvantaged did not experience a statistically significant change in reading scores.

**FIGURE 10: THIRD-GRADE READING SCORES STANDARDIZED AND WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA BY STATUS AS ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED.**




**Third-grade Math.** Participation in Pre-K 4 SA predicted an increase in third-grade math scores of students who were economically disadvantaged ( $D = 0.14$ ), as shown in Figure 11.

**FIGURE 11: THIRD-GRADE MATH SCORES STANDARDIZED AND WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA BY STATUS AS ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED**




**School Attendance.** Participation in Pre-K 4 SA predicted an increase in school attendance of students who were economically disadvantaged, as shown in Figure 12. Pre-K 4 SA predicted an additional 18.3 days of school attendance for economically disadvantaged students or a relative growth rate of 2.8 percent. For students not identified as economically disadvantaged, participation in Pre-K 4 SA predicted an additional 5.6 days of school attendance or a relative growth rate of 1 percent.

**FIGURE 12: DAYS PRESENT IN KINDERGARTEN TO THIRD GRADE WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA BY STATUS AS ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED.**




**Special Education.** Pre-K 4 SA students—both those identified as economically disadvantaged and those not economically disadvantaged—were assigned to special education programs at rates lower than their counterparts who did not enroll in public pre-K, as shown in Figure 13. However, Pre-K 4 SA did not produce a statistically significant difference in impact for students who were economically disadvantaged compared with those who were not.

**FIGURE 13: PROBABILITY OF BEING ASSIGNED TO A SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM IN KINDERGARTEN THROUGH THIRD GRADE WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA BY STATUS AS ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED**



**Grade Repetition.** Students repeated a grade between Kindergarten and third grade at an exceptionally low rate—less than half a percent overall. Pre-K 4 SA, however, eliminated the likelihood of grade repetition for economically disadvantaged students as shown in Figure 14. The effect size on grade repetition for economically disadvantaged students equalled negative 0.5 percentage points, while its effect on students not economically disadvantaged decreased, but not by a statistically significant level.

**FIGURE 14: PROBABILITY OF REPEATING A GRADE IN KINDERGARTEN THROUGH SECOND GRADE WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA BY STATUS AS ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED**



**Disciplinary Action.** Pre-K 4 SA produced the same effect on disciplinary action, regardless of economic disadvantage status.

**TABLE 3: PRE-K 4 SA'S AVERAGE TREATMENT-ON-THE-TREATED EFFECT SIZES BY ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATUS**

ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	COEFF.	SE	P-VALUE	95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS		OBS
				LOWER	UPPER	
Third-grade math score, standardized	0.161	0.050	0.001	0.063	0.259	7,588
Third-grade reading score, standardized	0.135	0.054	0.012	0.030	0.240	7,591
Days present, kinder to third grade	18.268	1.785	0.000	14.768	21.767	7,733
Classified in special education, kinder to third grade	-0.030	0.020	0.0129	-0.069	0.009	7,733
Repeated grade, kinder to second grade	-0.005	0.002	0.030	-0.009	0.000	7,733
Received disciplinary action, kinder to third grade	0.007	0.019	0.712	-0.031	0.045	7,733
NOT ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED	COEFF.	SE	P-VALUE	LOWER	UPPER	OBS
Third-grade math score, standardized	-0.033	0.055	0.549	-0.141	0.075	4,073
Third-grade reading score, standardized	0.075	0.062	0.222	-0.046	0.197	4,071
Days present, kinder to third grade	5.559	1.830	0.002	1.973	9.146	4,144
Classified in special education, kinder to third grade	-0.037	0.022	0.094	-0.080	0.006	4,144
Repeated grade, kinder to second grade	-0.001	0.000	0.157	-0.002	0.000	4,144
Received disciplinary action, kinder to third grade	0.052	0.028	0.061	-0.002	0.106	4,144


*Note: Findings were statistically significant with a p-value less than 0.05 for all outcomes except student disciplinary reports received.*

### LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP)

Pre-K 4 SA predicted larger benefits for students identified as being limited English proficient (LEP) than those identified as not being LEP. LEP students made up approximately one of every 10 Pre-K 4 SA students. They included a larger share of Hispanic students than their counterparts who were identified as not being LEP.


**Third-grade Reading.** Pre-K 4 SA predicted an increase in third grade reading scores of LEP students by 0.27 standard deviations, or the equivalent of a change in percentile ranking of 10.9 percentage points. Those not identified as LEP did experience an increase in reading scores, but not at a statistically significant level, as shown in Figure 15.

**FIGURE 15: THIRD-GRADE READING SCORES STANDARDIZED AND WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA BY STATUS AS LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP)**



**Third-grade Math.** Pre-K 4 SA predicted an increase in third-grade math scores of students identified as LEP by 0.29 standard deviations, or the equivalent of a change in percentile ranking of 10.2 percentage points. Their non-LEP counterparts experienced an increase, but by a smaller amount and not at a statistically significant level, as shown in Figure 16.


**FIGURE 16: THIRD-GRADE MATH SCORES STANDARDIZED AND WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA BY STATUS AS LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP)**






**School Attendance.** Pre-K 4 SA predicted an increase in school attendance of LEP students by 21 days, or a relative growth rate of 3.2 percent. School attendance of non-LEP students increased by 12 days, or a relative growth rate of 1.8 percent, as shown in Figure 17.

**FIGURE 17: DAYS PRESENT IN KINDERGARTEN TO THIRD GRADE WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA BY STATUS AS LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP)**




**Special Education.** Pre-K 4 SA predicated a decrease in the rate of assignment to special education for LEP and non-LEP students. Pre-K 4 SA's impact, however, was not statistically different across the two types of students, as shown in Figure 18.

**FIGURE 18: PROBABILITY OF BEING ASSIGNED TO A SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM IN KINDERGARTEN THROUGH THIRD GRADE WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA BY STATUS AS LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP)**



**Grade Repetition.** As previously mentioned, students repeated a grade between Kindergarten and second grade at an exceptionally low rate—less than half of a percent overall. Pre-K 4 SA, however, eliminated the likelihood of grade repetition for LEP students, as shown in Figure 19. The effect size on grade repetition for LEP students equalled negative 1.7 percentage points, while its effect on non-LEP students was negative but not statistically significant.

**FIGURE 19: PROBABILITY OF REPEATING A GRADE IN KINDERGARTEN THROUGH SECOND GRADE WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K AND PRE-K 4 SA BY STATUS AS LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP)**



**Disciplinary Action.** Pre-K 4 SA did not predict any differences in disciplinary action between LEP and non-LEP students.

**TABLE 4: PRE-K 4 SA'S AVERAGE TREATMENT-ON-THE-TREATED EFFECT SIZES BY LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP) STATUS**

LEP	COEFF.	SE	P-VALUE	95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS		OBS
				LOWER	UPPER	
Third-grade math score, standardized	0.2749	0.1085	0.0112	0.0624	0.4875	1,984
Third-grade reading score, standardized	0.2895	0.1276	0.0233	0.0394	0.5397	1,984
Days present, kinder to third grade	20.9539	3.9108	0.0000	13.2888	28.6190	2,020
Classified in special education, kinder to third grade	-0.0066	0.0526	0.8994	-0.1097	-0.0964	2,020
Repeated grade, kinder to second grade	-0.0167	0.0083	0.0431	-0.0329	-0.0005	2,020
Received disciplinary action, kinder to third grade	0.0016	0.0407	0.9689	-0.0783	0.0815	2,020
NOT LEP	COEFF.	SE	P-VALUE	LOWER	UPPER	OBS
Third-grade math score, standardized	0.0471	0.0407	0.2469	-0.0326	0.1269	9,674
Third-grade reading score, standardized	0.0663	0.0430	0.1237	-0.0181	0.1506	9,675
Days present, kinder to third grade	12.0250	1.4080	0.0000	9.2653	14.7847	9,855
Classified in special education, kinder to third grade	-0.0375	0.0147	0.0106	-0.0662	-0.0087	9,855
Repeated grade, kinder to second grade	-0.0006	0.0004	0.1099	-0.0014	0.0001	9,855
Received disciplinary action, kinder to third grade	0.0264	0.0167	0.1142	-0.0063	0.0591	9,855

Note: Findings were statistically significant with a p-value less than 0.05 for all outcomes except student disciplinary reports received.

# COMPARISON OF PRE-K 4 SA & PUBLIC PRE-K IMPACTS

How did effects  
of Pre-K 4 SA  
compare to those  
of public pre-K?

To compare Pre-K 4 SA impacts to public pre-K impacts, the study population needed to be limited to students who were identified as economically disadvantaged, homeless, or limited English proficient. This subpopulation represents nine out of 10 students—nearly all students—served by public prekindergarten. Limiting the study population to this target population ensured comparisons were internally valid. A more detailed discussion of this research design can be found in Appendix A.


Pre-K 4 SA—like a stronger dose of medicine—amplified the positive impact of public prekindergarten. While public prekindergarten improved student outcomes for its enrolled students, Pre-K 4 SA produced larger student gains. This analogy of a stronger dosage is fitting because Pre-K 4 SA provided a full day of instruction by teachers with college degrees in early education. In contrast, about half of the public prekindergarten programs examined provided a full day program and instructors with graduate degrees in early education were not common.

The next section, which includes Figures 20-24, contrasts outcomes of a target population of students who participated in public pre-K, students who did not participate in public pre-K, and Pre-K 4 SA students. As mentioned earlier, the target population consisted of students identified as economically disadvantaged, homeless, or limited English proficient. At the end of this section, Table 6 provides a summary of estimated effect sizes, their 95 percent confidence intervals, and other supporting statistics.

### **THIRD-GRADE READING**

Public pre-K students achieved a higher third-grade reading score on average compared to students who did not participate in public pre-K; however, the difference was not statistically significant. Pre-K 4 SA students achieved a higher third-grade reading score on average relative to both comparison groups: students who did not participate in public pre-K and those who did. The difference associated with Pre-K 4 SA was statistically significant. As shown in Figure 20, Pre-K 4 SA predicted an increase in reading scores equal to 0.17 standard deviations, or the equivalent of a change in percentile ranking of 6.7 percentage points relative to the performance of students who did not enroll in public pre-K. Moreover, the average score earned by Pre-K 4 SA students was statistically equivalent to the state average.


**FIGURE 20: THIRD-GRADE READING SCORES STANDARDIZED AND WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K, PUBLIC PRE-K, AND PRE-K 4 SA**



### THIRD-GRADE MATH

Public pre-K students achieved a higher third-grade math score on average compared to students who did not participate in public pre-K; however, the difference was not statistically significant. Pre-K 4 SA students achieved a higher third-grade math score on average relative to both comparison groups: students who did not participate in public pre-K and those who did. The difference predicted by Pre-K 4 SA was statistically significant. As shown in Figure 21, Pre-K 4 SA predicted an increase in reading scores equal to 0.15 standard deviations, or the equivalent of a change in percentile ranking of 5.9 percentage points relative to the performance of students who did not enroll in public pre-K.


**FIGURE 21: THIRD-GRADE MATH SCORES STANDARDIZED AND WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K, PUBLIC PRE-K, AND PRE-K 4 SA**



### SCHOOL ATTENDANCE

Participation in public pre-K predicted school attendance to increase by 10.3 days, or 1.6 percent relative to the target population who did not enroll in public pre-K, as shown in Figure 22. Pre-K 4 SA predicted an increase in school attendance by 17.9 days, or 2.7 percent relative to the target population who did not enroll in public pre-K.

**FIGURE 22: DAYS PRESENT IN KINDERGARTEN TO THIRD GRADE WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K, PUBLIC PRE-K, AND PRE-K 4 SA**






## SPECIAL EDUCATION

Participation in public pre-K predicted a decrease in the likelihood of being classified in special education by 2.2 percentage points, or 17.7 percent relative to the target population who did not enroll in public pre-K, as shown in Figure 23. Pre-K 4 SA predicted a decrease in the likelihood of being classified in special education by 3.8 percentage points, or 30.6 percent relative to the target population who did not enroll in public pre-K.


**FIGURE 23: PROBABILITY OF BEING ASSIGNED TO A SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM IN KINDERGARTEN THROUGH THIRD GRADE WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K, PUBLIC PRE-K, AND PRE-K 4 SA**



## GRADE REPETITION

Participation in public pre-K predicted a reduction in the need to repeat a grade between Kindergarten and second grade on average, but not by a statistically significant amount. In contrast, participation in Pre-K 4 SA predicted an elimination in the need to repeat a grade between kindergarten and second grade. Without Pre-K 4 SA, 0.4 percent of students repeated at least one grade between Kindergarten and second grade, as shown in Figure 24.

**FIGURE 24: PROBABILITY OF REPEATING A GRADE IN KINDERGARTEN THROUGH SECOND GRADE WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS OF STUDENTS WHO EXPERIENCED NO PUBLIC PRE-K, PUBLIC PRE-K, AND PRE-K 4 SA**



## DISCIPLINARY ACTION

Participation in public pre-K and Pre-K 4 SA produced no discernible effect on the probability of receiving a disciplinary action from Kindergarten to third grade for students of the targeted population in Figure 24.

**TABLE 5: PUBLIC PRE-K 4 SA'S AVERAGE TREATMENT-ON-THE-TREATED EFFECT SIZES OF STUDENTS WHO WERE ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED, HOMELESS OR LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT**

	COEFF.	P-VALUE	95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS		OBS
			LOWER	UPPER	
<b>PUBLIC PRE-K</b>					
Third-grade math score, standardized	0.017	0.536	-0.036	0.69	7,921
Third-grade reading score, standardized	0.051	0.061	-0.002	0.104	7,924
Days present, kinder to third grade	10.280	0.000	8.254	12.305	8,076
Classified in special education, kinder to third grade	-0.022	0.008	-0.038	-0.006	8,076
Repeated grade, kinder to second grade	-0.003	0.094	-0.007	0.001	8,076
Received disciplinary action, kinder to third grade	0.004	0.624	-0.011	0.018	8,076
<b>PRE-K 4 SA</b>					
Third-grade math score, standardized	0.170	0.001	0.072	0.268	7,921
Third-grade reading score, standardized	0.149	0.005	0.046	0.253	7,924
Days present, kinder to third grade	17.923	0.000	14.417	21.358	8,076
Classified in special education, kinder to third grade	-0.038	0.048	-0.076	0.000	8,076
Repeated grade, kinder to second grade	-0.004	0.030	-0.008	0.001	8,076
Received disciplinary action, kinder to third grade	0.007	0.721	-0.029	0.042	8,076

Note: Findings were statistically significant with a p-value less than 0.05 for all outcomes except student disciplinary reports received.



# APPENDIX A: RESEARCH DESIGN

This section describes this study's research design, outlines the student outcomes of interest, and provides details on how comparison groups were defined for each research question. It also describes the methodologies used to estimate effect sizes and the standard used to define statistical significance, concluding with a description of the limitations of the methodologies used.

## STUDENT OUTCOMES OF INTEREST

Student outcomes assessed include the following:

1. Attendance from Kindergarten through third grade;
2. Probability of repeating a grade from Kindergarten through third grade;
3. Probability of receiving a disciplinary action from Kindergarten through third grade;
4. Probability of being classified in special education from Kindergarten through third grade;
5. Scaled score on state-mandated third-grade reading exam standardized; and
6. Scaled score on state-mandated third-grade math exam standardized.

Scaled scores were standardized. Scores were centered on the state average and divided by the standard deviation of the distribution of scaled scores. Consequently, zero represents the state average and student scores are transformed to represent their distance from the state average in units equal to the distribution's standard deviation.

## COMPARISONS

This study examined different study populations to answer each of its four research questions. The first research question compared the share of public school first graders who enrolled in public prekindergarten in Bexar County to the share of public school first graders who enrolled in public prekindergarten in other urban counties of Texas, specifically Dallas, Harris, Tarrant, and Travis.

The second research question compared four-year-old Bexar County students who enrolled in Pre-K 4 SA in fiscal year-ending 2014 to Bexar County students who did not enroll in public prekindergarten, and who later enrolled in third grade at a public school also attended by a Pre-K 4 SA student.

The third research question involved the identification of heterogeneous effects—distinct treatment effects on observable subgroups of students. This study tested for the presence of heterogeneous effects of subgroups defined by gender, race and ethnicity, immigration status, economically disadvantaged status, limited English proficiency status, homeless status at the time of registration for prekindergarten, and three measures of teacher-student interactions that capture emotional support, instructional support, and classroom organization, respectively. Ultimately, only two types of subgroups were identified to uniquely benefit from Pre-K 4 SA: students identified as economically disadvantaged and limited English proficient (LEP), respectively.

To answer the fourth research question, which compares Pre-K 4 SA impacts to public pre-K impacts, the comparisons made were limited to students who were identified as economically disadvantaged, homeless, or limited English proficient. This subpopulation represents nearly nine out of 10 students—nearly all students—served by public prekindergarten. Furthermore, no other observed student attributes were available that better identified students who were eligible for public prekindergarten but who did not take advantage of public prekindergarten.

Quasi-treatment groups used to estimate student outcomes defined participation in prekindergarten (both Pre-K 4 SA and public pre-K, respectively) by prekindergarten students with a minimum attendance rate of 90 percent, the regulatory minimum of compulsory grades in Texas. Consequently, the effects estimated by this study represent average treatment-on-the-treated effect sizes; in other words, the expected effect produced when students attend the legal minimum number of school days.

This definition of treatment was applied to Pre-K 4 SA and public pre-K treatment groups.

All study populations used to answer research questions 2-4 were also limited to students who attended Bexar County public schools from prekindergarten to third grade. This limitation allowed for a single and consistent study sample to be analyzed across all outcome variables.

## METHODOLOGIES

**Research Question 1.** The effect of Pre-K 4 SA on prekindergarten enrollment was calculated using a difference-in-differences methodology. The effect size equalled the change in pre-K enrollment in Bexar County before and after the Pre-K 4 SA election minus the change in pre-K enrollment in other urban counties of Texas during the same time period. Subtracting out the changes in pre-K enrollment in other urban counties controlled for statewide trends in public pre-K enrollment that would have occurred in Bexar County outside the Pre-K 4 SA election. Effect size estimates were calculated using two regression equations: one representing changes before and during the Pre-K 4 SA election and a second after the election.





**Research Questions 2-4.** This study used a quasi-experimental research design to estimate Pre-K 4 SA and public prekindergarten effects, respectively. This research design is referred to as inverse propensity weighting and is often used in policy impact studies that use observation data.

Survey researchers have long used weighting techniques to create representative samples. They add lower weights to groups that are over-represented in a sample and higher weights for groups that are under-represented. In the same fashion, inverse propensity weighting adds lower weights to groups that are over-represented in their assigned category of treatment or control and higher weights to groups that are under-represented in their assigned category of treatment or control.

In this study, this weighting procedure eliminated the measurable differences in confounding variables (such as gender or economically disadvantaged status) between treatment and control groups.

In this study, over- and under-representation were based on a student's characteristics before prekindergarten: gender, race and ethnicity, economically disadvantaged status, limited English proficiency status, immigrant status, and homeless status.

In summary, the research design of this study controlled for the following confounding variables:

Gender;

- Race and ethnicity (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, and Other);
- Economically disadvantaged status;
- Limited English proficiency status;
- Immigrant status; and
- Homeless status at time of registration for prekindergarten.

After weights were added, the difference between the average student outcome of a quasi-treatment group and that of the quasi-control group were estimated using a regression model.

## HYPOTHESES TESTING

This evaluation used two-sided hypotheses tests with a critical value of 0.05 to identify the presence of non-zero effect sizes. This study reports effect sizes as statistically significant if they have a p-value of 0.05 or less.

## STUDY LIMITATIONS

**Research Question 1.** The difference-in-differences methodology used to answer research question 1 is unable to account for trends that relate to public prekindergarten enrollment in Bexar County and that have the same timing as Pre-K 4 SA's introduction into Bexar County. Though no plausible trend was identified, its existence cannot be entirely ruled out.

**Research Questions 2-4.** Findings produced by quasi-experimental research designs such as the ones used by this study are less definitive than random control trials. In particular, unobserved data limits all studies that work with observational data. For example, this study does not include a direct measure of each student's grit, the perseverance and passion for long-term goals, in estimating prekindergarten effects (Duckworth, 2007). If participants in prekindergarten disproportionately possess grit, and if variables included are poor proxies for grit, then grit may be a confounding variable. If this is the case, then not controlling for grit will cause prekindergarten program effects to be overstated. Of course, there may also be other lurking factors that bias effect sizes downward. Because these variables are unobserved, their confounding effects cannot be dismissed, only mitigated through research design and methodology and a proper grounding in established theory.

## DATA

**Research Question 1.** The Education Research Center at the University of Texas (ERC) facilitated access to the state's longitudinal data system, which included public education administrative data collected by Texas public schools. To answer this research question, this study used enrollment reports from fiscal year-ending 2014 to 2018 stored within the ERC.

**Research Questions 2-4.** The evaluation of Pre-K 4 SA impacts relied on ERC and Pre-K 4 SA data. The ERC data included attendance reports from fiscal year-ending 2014 to 2018, disciplinary action reports from fiscal year-ending 2014 to 2018, enrollment reports from fiscal year-ending 2014 to 2018, and STAAR accountability testing data from fiscal year-ending 2018. Pre-K 4 SA provided personally identifiable information on its first cohort of students who enrolled in their program in fiscal year-ending 2014 and data describing the instructional quality of each of its classrooms.

# APPENDIX B: ROBUSTNESS CHECKS

This study performed three checks of the robustness of estimated effects on student outcomes that were used to answer research questions. The first robustness check tested the effectiveness of inverse propensity weighting to eliminate the relationship between student attributes and likelihood of receiving treatment: participating in Pre-K 4 SA or public pre-K, respectively. As shown in Panel A of Table 6, before inverse propensity weighting occurred, indicators of Hispanic ethnicity, limited English proficiency (LEP), and economic disadvantage were highly predictive of participation in Pre-K 4 SA. In Panel B of Table 6, after inverse propensity weighting occurred, indicators of Hispanic ethnicity, limited English proficiency (LEP), and economic disadvantage (in addition to other pre-treatment attributes) did not predict participation in Pre-K 4 SA.

**TABLE 6: ROBUSTNESS CHECK 1: INVERSE WEIGHTS ELIMINATE STUDENT ATTRIBUTE'S ABILITY TO PREDICT TREATMENT**

**PANEL A: BEFORE INVERSE PROPENSITY WEIGHTING**

	FEMALE	HISPANIC	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	LEP	ECON. DISADV.	HOMELESS
<b>Coeff</b>	-0.0303	-0.0337	0.0047	0.0103	0	-0.1649	-0.1923	-0.0017
<b>SE</b>	0.025	0.018	0.013	0.007	0.002	0.022	0.019	0.005
<b>P-value</b>	0.223	0.062	0.708	0.145	0.986	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.716
<b>N</b>	6607	6607	6607	6607	6607	6607	6607	6607

**PANEL B: AFTER INVERSE PROPENSITY WEIGHTING**

	FEMALE	HISPANIC	BLACK	ASIAN	OTHER	LEP	ECON. DISADV.	HOMELESS
<b>Coeff</b>	0.026	-0.0057	-0.003	0.0003	0.0007	0.0043	0.0043	-0.0014
<b>SE</b>	0.03	0.021	0.013	0.007	0.003	0.033	0.017	0.004
<b>P-value</b>	0.381	0.78	0.816	0.964	0.817	0.896	0.795	0.748
<b>N</b>	6607	6607	6607	6607	6607	6607	6607	6607

The second robustness check of effect size estimates involved trimming the student populations at the tails of the propensity score distribution and then re-estimating the effect size estimates with the reduced study sample. In the primary model of this study used to estimate Pre-K 4 SA impacts, propensity scores ranged from less than one percent to approximately 20 percent likelihood of assignment to treatment or control, respectively. In this robustness check, this study kept students with a probability of assignment between 5 and 15 percent.

In Panel A of Table 7, the effect sizes of Pre-K 4 SA impacts before trimming the study population are compared to the effect sizes of Pre-K 4 SA impacts after trimming the study population. There is no meaningful variation in impact sizes or statistical significance.

**TABLE 7: ROBUSTNESS CHECK 2: PRE-K 4 SA IMPACTS BEFORE AND AFTER HIGH AND LOW PROPENSITY SCORE STUDENTS ARE REMOVED**

OUTCOMES	PANEL A: BEFORE				PANEL B: AFTER			
	COEFF.	SE	P-VALUE	OBS	COEFF.	SE	P-VALUE	OBS
Third-grade math score, standardized	0.095	0.039	0.015	11,688	0.092	0.041	0.025	10,358
Third-grade reading score, standardized	0.112	0.042	0.007	11,689	0.101	0.042	0.017	10,360
Days present, kinder to third grade	13.371	1.348	0.000	11,906	14.026	1.480	< 0.001	10,542
Classified in special education, kinder to third grade	-0.0033	0.015	0.025	11,906	-0.049	0.013	< 0.001	10,542
Repeated grade, kinder to second grade	-0.004	0.002	0.023	11,906	-0.004	0.002	0.045	10,542
Received disciplinary action, kinder to third grade	0.022	0.015	0.147	11,906	0.016	0.014	0.257	10,542

Note: Findings were statistically significant with a p-value less than 0.05 for all outcomes except student disciplinary reports received.

The third and final robustness check involved estimating the effect sizes produced by Pre-K 4 SA without control variables. As shown in Table 8, the direction and statistical significance of estimated effects remain unchanged after control variables are eliminated from the analysis.

**TABLE 8: ROBUSTNESS CHECK 3: PRE-K 4 SA'S AVERAGE TREATMENT ON THE TREATED EFFECT SIZES WITHOUT CONTROL VARIABLES**

OUTCOMES	COEFF.	SE	P-VALUE	95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS		OBS
				LOWER	UPPER	
Third-grade math score, standardized	0.132	0.052	0.012	0.029	0.235	11,688
Third-grade reading score, standardized	0.150	0.051	0.003	0.050	0.250	11,689
Days present, kinder to third grade	17.988	1.979	< 0.001	14.109	21.867	11,906
Classified in special education, kinder to third grade	-0.047	0.017	0.006	-0.081	-0.013	11,906
Repeated grade, kinder to second grade	-0.002	0.001	0.025	-0.004	0.000	11,906
Received disciplinary action, kinder to third grade	0.009	0.019	0.645	-0.028	0.046	11,906

Note: Findings were statistically significant with a p-value less than 0.05 for all outcomes except student disciplinary reports received.

# REFERENCES

- Campbell, Ramey, Pungello, Sparling, and Miller-Johnson. (2002). Early Childhood Education: Young Adult Outcomes from the Abecedarian Project. *Applied Developmental Science*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 42-57
- Heckman, J. (2006). Skill Formation and the Economics of Investing in Disadvantaged Children. *Science*, Vol. 312.
- Heckman, Moon, Pinto, Savelyev, and Yavitz. (2009) The rate of return to the High/Scope Perry Preschool Program. *Journal of Public Economics*, Vol. 94, 114-128
- Ho, D., Imai, K., King, G., & Stuart, E. (2007). Matching as Nonparametric Preprocessing for Reducing Model Dependence in Parametric Causal Inference. *Political Analysis*, 15(3), 199–236. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpi013>
- Lipsey, M., Farran, D., & Durkin, K. (2018). Effects of the Tennessee Prekindergarten Program on children's achievement and behavior through third grade. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 45, 155–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2018.03.005>
- Morgan, Stephen L. and Christopher Winship (2014): *Counterfactuals and Causal Inference: Methods and Principles for Social Research*, 2nd edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pre-K 4 SA. (2018). Program Description. Retrieved from: <https://prek4sa.com>
- Rosenbaum, P., & Rubin, D. (1983). The Central Role of the Propensity Score in Observational Studies for Causal Effects. *Biometrika*, 70(1), 41–55. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2335942>
- Weiss, C., & Weiss, C. (1998). *Evaluation : methods for studying programs and policies* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, N.J: Prentice Hall.

# IMPACT STUDY OF PRE-K 4 SA

By Dr. Michael U. Villarreal

**UTSA**<sup>®</sup>



URBAN  
EDUCATION  
INSTITUTE